Deep Learning

A course about theory & practice

UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

Word Embedding

Marco Piastra

Basic Idea

Embedding, in short

Words (=*token*) from natural language
are each translated into a high-dimensional
numerical vector



Images from https://ig.ft.com/generative-ai/

Embedding, in short

Words (=*token*) from natural language are each translated into a high-dimensional *numerical vector*

Such vector is computed by estimating the *probability of co-occurrence* in a context of other words in a (very) large text corpus



Images from https://ig.ft.com/generative-ai/

Embedding, in short

Words (=*token*) from natural language are each translated into a high-dimensional *numerical vector*

Such vector is computed by estimating the *probability of co-occurrence* in a context of other words in a (very) large text corpus

In this way, the *numerical similarity* among vectors is representative of words' affinity in terms of role or meaning (or both)

Distributional Semantics:

"You shall know a word by the company it keeps."
— J.R. Firth (1957)



Images from https://ig.ft.com/generative-ai/

Word Embedding

Representing sentences

- **Natural Language**

  "The man loves his son"

  Clearly, this is a *sequence*, of words

  How can each word be represented by a numerical vector?

  First idea: one hot encoding

  Given a dictionary of W words, each word w could be assigned a unique vector

$$\boldsymbol{v}_w \in \{0,1\}^W$$

  - *Not particularly efficient: large vectors with almost entirely filled with zeros*
  - *The ordering of components will be meaningless: similarities among words will not be represented at all*

Representing sentences

- **Natural Language**

  "The man loves his son"

  Clearly, this is a *sequence*, of words

  How can each word be represented, effectively?

  Nice-to-have: *similarity* among words

  Cosine similarity between two vectors

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_2}{\|\boldsymbol{v}_1\| \|\boldsymbol{v}_2\|} \in [-1, 1]$$

  - *Similar words (e.g.,* "son", "daughter"*) should have a high similarity value*

Representing words

- **The Skip-Gram Model (word 2vec)**

  "The man loves his son"

  Basic idea: *representing words in relation to their context (in terms of conditional probability)*

$$P(\text{“the”}, \text{“man”}, \text{“his”}, \text{“son”} \mid \text{“loves”})$$

  Assuming conditional independence (akin *Naïve Bayesian Classifier*):



  the following factorization is correct:

$$= P(\text{“the”} \mid \text{“loves”})P(\text{“man”} \mid \text{“loves”})P(\text{“his”} \mid \text{“loves”})P(\text{“son”} \mid \text{“loves”})$$

  Note that the ordering of context words is irrelevant

[image from http://d2l.ai/chapter_natural-language-processing-pretraining/word2vec.html]

Representing words

- **The Skip-Gram Model (word 2vec)**

$$P(\text{“the”}, \text{“man”}, \text{“his”}, \text{“son”} \mid \text{“loves”}) =$$
$$P(\text{“the”} \mid \text{“loves”})P(\text{“man”} \mid \text{“loves”})P(\text{“his”} \mid \text{“loves”})P(\text{“son”} \mid \text{“loves”})$$

Conditional probability *factors* are defined via *softmax*

$$P(w_o \mid w_c) := \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_o \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)}$$

under these assumptions:

- *each word i in the dictionary is associated to two vectors $\boldsymbol{u}_i, \boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$*
- *$\boldsymbol{u}_i$ is the vector for i as <u>center</u> word, whereas $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ is the vector for i as <u>context</u> word*
- *the dimension d of vectors is an hyperparameter*
- *W is the <u>vocabulary</u>*
- *$\boldsymbol{v}_i$ is the <u>target</u> of the training (embedding vector), whereas $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ will be discarded after training*

Representing words

- **The Skip-Gram Model**

  A **skip-gram** is a *context* of words in a sentence, corresponding to a '*center*' word

  Each skip-gram is obtained from a fixed *window size*, that is, the number of words the context of the *center* word

  Each skip-gram (a data item) is of the kind **`(center_word, context word)`**

Window Size	Text	Skip-grams
2	[The **wide** road shimmered] in the hot sun.	wide, the wide, road wide, shimmered
	The [wide road **shimmered** in the] hot sun.	shimmered, wide shimmered, road shimmered, in shimmered, the
	The wide road shimmered in [the hot **sun**].	sun, the sun, hot
3	[The **wide** road shimmered in] the hot sun.	wide, the wide, road wide, shimmered wide, in
	[The wide road **shimmered** in the hot] sun.	shimmered, the shimmered, wide shimmered, road shimmered, in shimmered, the shimmered, hot
	The wide road shimmered [in the hot **sun**].	sun, in sun, the sun, hot

Negative Sampling

A dataset for word embedding can be augmented using *negative sampling*: creating skip-grams for words that *do not* occur with the context of the center word in the sentence

Therefore, a skip-gram becomes

`(center_word, context word, label)`

where **`label`** is either **`1`** (positive) or **`0`** (negative)

[images from https://www.tensorflow.org/tutorials/text/word2vec]

Representing words

- **Skip-gram: loss function**

  Given the independence conditions, the *likelihood* of a textual sentence of length T is:

$$\prod_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{j \in Cntx(t)} P(w^{(t)} \mid w^{(j)})$$

  where $Cntx(t)$ is the context (of fixed length) of word t

  Using log-probability:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{j \in Cntx(t)} \log P(w^{(t)} \mid w^{(j)})$$

  where:

$$\log P(w_o \mid w_c) = \boldsymbol{u}_o \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c - \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c) \right)$$

Representing words

- **Skip gram: gradient**

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \log P(w_o \mid w_c)}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} &= \boldsymbol{u}_o - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)\boldsymbol{u}_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\
&= \boldsymbol{u}_o - \sum_{j=1}^{W} \left(\frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \right) \boldsymbol{u}_j \\
&= \boldsymbol{u}_o - \sum_{j=1}^{W} P(w_j \mid w_c)\boldsymbol{u}_j
\end{aligned}$$

Representing words

- **Skip-gram: gradient**

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log P(w_o \mid w_c)}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o} &= \boldsymbol{v}_c - \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_o \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)\boldsymbol{v}_c}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)} \\ &= \boldsymbol{v}_c - \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{u}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_c)}\boldsymbol{v}_c \\ &= \boldsymbol{v}_c - P(w_o \mid w_c)\boldsymbol{v}_c\end{aligned}$$

Representing words

- **Training and results**
  1. Have a dataset (text corpus) of sentences
  2. Extract skip-grams, both positive and negative
  3. Train with the model with a gradient descent variant
  4. Obtain vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ for each word in the dictionary
  5. Use vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ as the *embedded representation* of corresponding words

The vocabulary $\mathcal{W}$ is now represented by vectors whose relative position in a d-dimensional space reflects the co-occurrence in context

d is an hyperparameter



See http://projector.tensorflow.org/

Representing words

- **The Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW) Model**

  "The man loves his son"

  The basic idea is dual to skip-gram: predict center word starting from the context

$$P(\text{“loves”} \mid \text{“the”}, \text{“man”}, \text{“his”}, \text{“son”})$$



Mathematically, this is slightly more complex, since independence assumptions are in the *priors*

Once again, the ordering of context words is irrelevant

[image from http://d2l.ai/chapter_natural-language-processing-pretraining/word2vec.html

Representing words

- **The Continuous Bag of Words (CBOW) Model**

$$P(\text{“loves”} \mid \text{“the”}, \text{“man”}, \text{“his”}, \text{“son”})$$

Conditional probability *factors* are defined via a different *softmax*

$$P(w_c \mid w_{o_1}, \ldots, w_{o_m}) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{u}_c \cdot (\boldsymbol{v}_{o_1} + \ldots + \boldsymbol{v}_{o_m})\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{W} \exp\left(\frac{1}{m}\boldsymbol{u}_i \cdot (\boldsymbol{v}_{o_1} + \ldots + \boldsymbol{v}_{o_m})\right)}$$

From this point on, the derivation is similar.

Representing words

- **word2vec**
  - Word *vectors* are used to represent words, can also be considered as *feature vectors*
  - The technique of mapping words to real vectors is called word *embedding*
  - The **`word2vec`** tool contains both the skip-gram and continuous bag of words models
  - The *skip-gram model* assumes that a word can be used to generate its surrounding words in a text sequence
  - The *continuous bag of words* model assumes that a center word is generated based on its surrounding context words

Skip-gram or CBOW?

According to [Mikolov et al., 2013] Skip-Gram works well with small datasets and can better represent less frequent words

However, CBOW is considered to train faster than Skip-Gram and better in representing more frequent words

Say It with Tokens

Words vs Tokens

So far, we have assumed that binary vectors encode entire words, in natural language

Large Language Models (LLM) use *token* instead:

- sentences are pre-processed
- words and symbols are split apart, whit spaces removed
- individual words are further split apart



Punctuation marks and symbols translate into tokens

Note the different encoding of words 'The' and 'the'

[image from https://platform.openai.com/tokenizerl

Words vs Tokens

So far, we have assumed that binary vectors encode entire words, in natural language

Large Language Models (LLM) use *token* instead:

- sentences are pre-processed
- words and symbols are split apart, whit spaces removed
- individual words are further split apart

Typically, latin languages generate more sub-word tokens

[image from https://platform.openai.com/tokenizerl

Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE)

(see: https://huggingface.co/learn/nlp-course/chapter6/5)

frequency

```
Words: [("hug", 10), ("pug", 5), ("pun", 12), ("bun", 4), ("hugs", 5)]
Tokens: ["b", "g", "h", "n", "p", "s", "u"]
Corpus: ("h" "u" "g", 10), ("p" "u" "g", 5), ("p" "u" "n", 12), ("b" "u" "n", 4), ("h" "u" "g" "s", 5)
```

Most frequent pair: "u" + "g" 20

```
Tokens: ["b", "g", "h", "n", "p", "s", "u", "ug"]
Corpus: ("h" "ug", 10), ("p" "ug", 5), ("p" "u" "n", 12), ("b" "u" "n", 4), ("h" "ug" "s", 5)
```

Most frequent pair: "u" + "n" 16

```
Tokens: ["b", "g", "h", "n", "p", "s", "u", "ug", "un"]
Corpus: ("h" "ug", 10), ("p" "ug", 5), ("p" "un", 12), ("b" "un", 4), ("h" "ug" "s", 5)
```

Most frequent pair: "h" + "ug" 15

```
Tokens: ["b", "g", "h", "n", "p", "s", "u", "ug", "un", "hug"]
Corpus: ("hug", 10), ("p" "ug", 5), ("p" "un", 12), ("b" "un", 4), ("hug" "s", 5)
```

...

Tokens reaching zero frequency are removed from the vocabulary