Artificial Intelligence

A course about foundations



First-Order Logic

Marco Piastra

Artificial Intelligence 2023-2024 First-Order Logic [1]

Propositional possible worlds

```
Each possible world is a structure < {0,1}, \Sigma, \nu>
```

 $\{0,1\}$ are the *truth values*

 Σ is the **signature** of the formal language: a set of propositional symbols

v is a function: $\Sigma \to \{0,1\}$ assigning truth values to the symbols in Σ

Propositional symbols (signature)

Each symbol in Σ stands for an actual *proposition* (in natural language)

In the simple convention, we use the symbols A, B, C, D, ...

Caution: Σ is not necessarily *finite*

Possible worlds

The class of structures contains all possible worlds:

$$<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu>$$

 $<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu'>$
 $<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu''>$

...

Each class of structure shares Σ and $\{0,1\}$

The functions v are different: the assignment of truth values varies, depending on the possible world If P is finite, there are only *finitely* many distinct possible worlds (actually $2^{|P|}$)

Entering extensional semantics: tuples, relations and functions

Tuple

Consider a generic set of objects U

An example set of objects from U is denoted as $\{u_1, u_2\}$, where $u_1, u_2 \in U$ In a <u>set</u>, the order of elements is not relevant

An example of *tuple* of objects from \mathbf{U} is denoted as $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$, where $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ In a <u>tuple</u>, the order is relevant, i.e. $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \neq \langle u_2, u_1 \rangle$

Cartesian product

The cartesian product $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} =: \mathbf{U}^2$ is the set of <u>all</u> tuples $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ Analogously, \mathbf{U}^3 is the set of <u>all</u> tuples $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{U}$ \mathbf{U}^4 is the set of <u>all</u> tuples $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbf{U}$ and so on ...

Relation

<u>arity</u> is always an integer

A relation of *arity* n is a subset of \mathbf{U}^n

Function

A <u>function</u> of type $U^n \to U$ is a relation of arity n+1 such that each tuple is constructed by associating each tuple of U^n with exactly one object from U

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to \mathbf{U}

Signature Σ

- individual constants: *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, ...
- function symbols (with <u>arity</u>): f/n, g/p, h/q, ...
- predicate symbols (with <u>arity</u>): P/k, Q/l, R/m, ...

<u>Arity</u> is an integer that describes the expected number of arguments

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to \mathbf{U}

Term

A single *individual constant* is a **term** If f/n is a *functional symbol* (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is a **term**

Atom

If P/n is a predicate symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is an **atom** (i.e a first-order well-formed formula – wff)

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to ${f U}$

Function v (*interpretation*)

- lacktriangledown v assigns each individual constant to an object in ${f U}$
 - $v(a) \in \mathbf{U}$ (a individual constant)
- lacktriangledown v assigns each functional symbol a function defined on ${\bf U}$
 - $v(f/n): \mathbf{U}^n \to \mathbf{U}$ (f/n functional symbol)
- lacktriangledown v assigns each predicate symbol a relation defined on ${f U}$

$$v(P/m) \subseteq \mathbf{U}^m$$
 (P/m predicate symbol)

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to \mathbf{U}

Term

A single individual constant is a term

If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is a **term**

The semantics of a **term** $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is $v(f/n) (\langle v(t_1), ..., v(t_n) \rangle) \in \mathbf{U}$

that is, the result of applying the function that v associates to f/n to the tuple of objects in \mathbf{U} created from the semantics of t_1, \ldots, t_n It is yet an object in \mathbf{U}

First-order language (without variables)

Well-formed formulae (wff)

All symbols in the *signature* Σ (*constants, function* and *predicate symbols*)

Two (primary) *logical connectives*: \neg , \rightarrow

Three (derived) *logical connectives*: \land , \lor , \leftrightarrow

Parenthesis: (,) (there are no *precedence rules* in this language)

The definition of *terms* and *atoms* (see before)

A set of syntactic rules

The set of all the **wff** of L_{FO} is denoted as wff(L_{FO})

```
\begin{array}{ll} \varphi \ \ \text{is an} \ \underline{atom} & \Rightarrow \varphi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \\ \varphi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) & \Rightarrow (\neg \varphi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \\ \varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \\ \varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \lor \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \rightarrow \psi) \\ \varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \land \psi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg (\varphi \rightarrow (\neg \psi))) \\ \varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)) \end{array}
```

These rules are identical to the propositional ones!

Satisfaction (without variables)

• Given a possible world $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

```
If \varphi is an atom (i.e. \varphi has the form P(t_1, ..., t_n)) <\mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v> \models \varphi iff < v(t_1), ..., v(t_n) > \in v(P/n)
```

If φ e ψ are wffs

```
\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (\neg \varphi) \quad \text{iff} \qquad \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \not\models \varphi \\
\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \quad \text{iff} \qquad \text{NOT } \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi \quad \text{OR } \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \psi \\
\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (\varphi \land \psi) \quad \text{iff} \qquad \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi \quad \text{AND } \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \psi \\
\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (\varphi \lor \psi) \quad \text{iff} \qquad \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi \quad \text{OR } \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [s] \models \psi
```

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Universe

 $\mathbf{U} := \{\underline{\text{tom}}, \underline{\text{spot}}, \underline{\text{kitty}}, \underline{\text{felix}}\}$ Could not put real cats in \mathbf{U} :)

underlined names here stand for objects

Signature

 $\Sigma := \{tom, spot, kitty, felix, Likes/2\}$ four constants and one predicate symbol

Interpretation

 $v(tom) = \underline{tom}, \quad v(spot) = \underline{spot}, \quad v(kitty) = \underline{kitty}, \quad v(felix) = \underline{felix},$ $v(Likes/2) = \quad a \text{ subset of } U \times U$ $\{<\underline{tom}, \underline{tom}>, <\underline{spot}, \underline{tom}>, <\underline{spot}, \underline{kitty}>, <\underline{kitty}, \underline{spot}>, <\underline{kitty}>, <\underline{felix}, \underline{kitty}>\}$

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Sentences

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models Likes(spot, kitty)$$
 because $\langle v(spot), v(kitty) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \mathit{Likes}(tom, tom)$$
 because $\langle v(tom), v(tom) \rangle \in v(\mathit{Likes}/2)$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \neg Likes(kitty, felix)$$
 because $\langle v(kitty), v(felix) \rangle \notin v(Likes/2)$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \not\models Likes(tom, kitty)$$
 because $\langle v(tom), v(kitty) \rangle \not\in v(Likes/2)$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \not\models \neg Likes(felix, kitty)$$
 because $\langle v(felix), v(kitty) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Sentences

 $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(spot, kitty) \land Likes(felix, kitty))$

 $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(tom, kitty) \lor Likes(tom, tom))$

 $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(spot, tom) \lor \neg Likes(spot, tom))$

is satisfied in this possible world but also in any possible world

Variables & Quantifiers

Well-formed formulae (wff)

All symbols in the *signature* Σ (i.e. *constants, function* and *predicate* <u>symbols</u>)

A set of **variables**: x, y, z

Two (primary) *logical connectives*: \neg , \rightarrow

Three (derived) *logical connectives*: \land , \lor , \leftrightarrow

Two quantifiers: \forall , \exists

Parentheses: (,) (there are no precedence rules in this language)

An extended definition of *terms* and *atoms*

Term

A single individual constant or a variable is a term

If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is a **term**

Atom

If P/n is a predicate symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is an **atom** (i.e a first-order well-formed formula – wff)

Variables & Quantifiers

Well-formed formulae (wff)

```
All symbols in the signature \Sigma (i.e. constants, function and predicate symbols)
```

A set of **variables**: x, y, z

Two (primary) *logical connectives*: \neg , \rightarrow

Three (derived) *logical connectives*: \land , \lor , \leftrightarrow

Two quantifiers: \forall , \exists

Parentheses: (,) (there are no *precedence rules* in this language)

An extended definition of terms and atoms (see before)

A set of syntactic rules

```
\varphi \text{ is an } \underline{atom} \quad \Rightarrow \varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \quad \Rightarrow (\neg \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \to \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \lor \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \to \psi)
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \land \psi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg (\varphi \to (\neg \psi)))
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\varphi \to \psi) \land (\psi \to \varphi))
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\forall x \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\exists x \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
```

Artificial Intelligence 2023-2024 First-Order Logic [14]

Satisfaction

• Given a possible world <**U**, Σ , ν > and a valuation s (on that world)

A valuation is a function $s: Variables \to \mathbf{U}$ In a sense, a valuation s: transforms all variables into constants $<\mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v > [s] \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad < v(t_1) \; [s], ..., v(t_n) \; [s] > \in v(P) \; [s]$

If φ e ψ are wffs

Quantified formulae

$$<$$
U, Σ , $v>[s] \models \forall x \varphi$ iff FORALL $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{U}$ we have $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>[s](x:\underline{d}) \models \varphi$ $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>[s] \models \exists x \varphi$ iff it EXISTS $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>[s](x:\underline{d}) \models \varphi$

Where $[s](x:\underline{d})$ is the *variant* of function s that assigns \underline{d} to x and remains unaltered for any other variables.

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			X	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Sentences

$$<$$
U, Σ , $v>$ [s] \models ($\forall x$ ($\exists y \ Likes(x, y)$)) because FORALL $\underline{\operatorname{cat1}} \in \mathbf{U}$, $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>$ [s]($x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$) \models ($\exists y \ Likes(x, y)$) because it EXISTS $\underline{\operatorname{cat2}} \in \mathbf{U}$, $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>$ ([s]($x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$))($y:\underline{\operatorname{cat2}}$) \models $Likes(x, y)$

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	Х		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			X	

translates into $\langle U, \Sigma, v \rangle$

Sentences

<**U**, Σ , v> [s] $\not\models$ ($\exists x \ (\forall y \ Likes(x, y)))$ because it EXISTS $\underline{\text{cat1}} \in \mathbf{U}$, <**U**, Σ , v> [s]($x:\underline{\text{cat1}}$) $\not\models$ ($\forall y \ Likes(x, y)$) because *NOT* FORALL $\underline{\text{cat2}} \in \mathbf{U}$, <**U**, Σ , v> ([s]($x:\underline{\text{cat1}}$))($y:\underline{\text{cat2}}$) \models Likes(x, y)

Variables & Quantifiers: further examples

- "Being brothers means being relatives"
 - $\forall x \forall y (Brother(x, y) \rightarrow Relative(x, y))$
- "Being relative is a symmetric relation"
 - $\forall x \forall y \ (Relative(x,y) \leftrightarrow Relative(y,x))$
- "By definition, being mother is being parent and female"
 - $\forall x (Mother(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y \ Parent(x, y) \land Female(x)))$
- "A cousin is a son of either a brother or a sister of either parents"
 - $\forall x \forall y (Cousin(x,y))$
 - $\leftrightarrow \exists z \exists w \ (Parent(z, x) \land Parent(w, y) \land (Brother(z, w) \lor Sister(z, w))))$
- "Everyone has a mother"
 - $\forall x \exists y Mother(y, x)$
 - BE CAREFUL about the order of quantifiers, in fact:
 - $\exists y \forall x Mother(y, x)$
 - "There is one (common) mother to everyone"

Open formulae, Sentences

Bound and free variables

The occurrence of a *variable* in a wff is *bound* if it lies in the scope of a quantifier (for that *variable*)

The occurrence of a variable in a wff is **free** if it is not bound

```
Examples of bound variables: \forall x \ P(x)

\exists x \ (P(x) \rightarrow (A(x) \land B(x))

Examples of free variables: P(x)
```

 $\exists y \ (P(y) \to (A(x,y) \land B(y)))$

Open and closed formulae: sentences

A wff is open if there is at least one free occurrence of a variable

Otherwise, the wff is *closed* (also called *sentence*)

Only *closed* wffs, i.e. *sentences*, have a truth value (see after)

Models

Validity in a possible world, model

```
A wff \varphi such that \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [s] \models \varphi for any valuation s is valid in \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle < \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle is also a model of \varphi and we write \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi (i.e. the reference to s can be omitted) A possible world \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle is a model of a set of wff \Gamma iff it is a model for all the wffs in \Gamma and we write \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \Gamma
```

Truth

A **sentence** ψ such that $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$ [s] $\models \psi$ for one valuation s is **valid** in $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$ *If the sentence is true for one valuation s , then is true for all valuations*

A sentence ψ is true in $\langle U, \Sigma, v \rangle$ if it is valid in $\langle U, \Sigma, v \rangle$

Validity in general

Validity and logical truth

```
A wff (either open or closed) is valid (also logically valid) if it is valid in any possible world < U, \Sigma, v> Example: (P(x) \lor \neg P(x))

A sentence \psi is a logical truth if it is true in any possible world < U, \Sigma, v> we write then \models \psi (i.e. no reference to < U, \Sigma, v>) Examples: \forall x (P(x) \lor \neg P(x)) \ \forall x \forall y (G(x,y) \to (H(x,y) \to G(x,y)))
```

Inconsistence

A wff (either open or closed) is **inconsistent** if its not *satisfiable* Example: $\forall x (P(x) \land \neg P(x))$

Entailment

Definition

Given a set of wffs Γ and one wff φ , we have $\Gamma \models \varphi$

iff all possible worlds $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$ [s] satisfying Γ also satisfy φ

This definition embraces all possible combinations <**U**, Σ , $\nu>$ [s] The only thing that does not vary is the language Σ

Is this problem <u>decidable</u>?

In general, in first-order logic, a direct calculus of entailment is impossible...