Artificial Intelligence

First-Order Logic

Marco Piastra

Propositional possible worlds

Each possible world is a structure <{0,1}, Σ , ν >

 $\{0,1\}$ are the *truth values*

 Σ is the **signature** of the formal language: a set of propositional symbols

v is a function: $\Sigma \to \{0,1\}$ assigning truth values to the symbols in Σ

Propositional symbols (signature)

Each symbol in Σ stands for an actual *proposition* (in natural language)

In the simple convention, we use the symbols A, B, C, D, ...

Caution: Σ is not necessarily *finite*

Possible worlds

The class of structures contains all possible worlds:

$$<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu>$$

 $<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu'>$
 $<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu''>$

...

Each class of structure shares Σ and $\{0,1\}$

The functions v are different: the assignment of truth values varies, depending on the possible world If P is finite, there are only *finitely* many distinct possible worlds (actually $2^{|P|}$)

An aside: tuples, relations and functions

Tuple

Consider a generic set of objects U

An example set of objects from U is denoted as $\{u_1, u_2\}$, where $u_1, u_2 \in U$ In a <u>set</u>, the order of elements is not relevant

An example of tuple of objects from $\mathbf U$ is denoted as $\langle u_1,u_2\rangle$, where $u_1,u_2\in \mathbf U$ In a <u>tuple</u>, the order is relevant, i.e. $\langle u_1,u_2\rangle\neq\langle u_2,u_1\rangle$

Cartesian product

The cartesian product $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} =: \mathbf{U}^2$ is the set of <u>all</u> tuples $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ Analogously, \mathbf{U}^3 is the set of <u>all</u> tuples $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{U}$ \mathbf{U}^4 is the set of <u>all</u> tuples $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbf{U}$ and so on ...

Relation

<u>arity</u> is always an integer

A relation of *arity* n is a subset of \mathbf{U}^n

Function

A function of type $U^n \to U$ is a relation of arity n+1 such that each tuple is constructed by associating each tuple of U^n with exactly one object from U

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to U

Signature Σ

- individual constants: a, b, c, d, ...
- function symbols (with <u>arity</u>): f/n, g/p, h/q, ...
- predicate symbols (with <u>arity</u>): P/k, Q/l, R/m, ...

<u>Arity</u> is an integer that describes the expected number of arguments

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to ${f U}$

Term

A single *individual constant* is a **term**

If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is a **term**

Atom

If P/n is a predicate symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is an **atom** (i.e a first-order well-formed formula – wff)

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to U

Function v (*interpretation*)

- v assigns each *individual constant* to an *object* in \mathbf{U} $v(a) \in \mathbf{U}$ (a individual constant)
- v assigns each functional symbol a function defined on \mathbf{U} $v(f/n): \mathbf{U}^n \to \mathbf{U} \ (f/n \ \text{functional symbol})$
- v assigns each predicate symbol a relation defined on \mathbf{U} $v(P/m) \subseteq \mathbf{U}^m \ (P/m)$ predicate symbol)

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

U is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 Σ is a set of symbols, called **signature**

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in Σ with respect to U

Term

A single *individual constant* is a **term**

If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and $t_1, ..., t_n$ are **terms**, then $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is a **term**

The semantics of a **term** $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ is $v(f/n) (\langle v(t_1), ..., v(t_n) \rangle) \in \mathbf{U}$

that is, the result of applying the function that v associates to f/n to the tuple of objects in \mathbf{U} created from the semantics of t_1, \ldots, t_n It is yet an object in \mathbf{U}

First-order language (without variables)

Well-formed formulae (wff)

All symbols in the signature Σ (i.e. constants, function and predicate symbols)

Two (primary) *logical connectives*: \neg , \rightarrow

Three (derived) *logical connectives*: \land , \lor , \leftrightarrow

Parenthesis: (,) (there are no *precedence rules* in this language)

The definition of *terms* and *atoms* (see before)

A set of syntactic rules

The set of all the **wff** of L_{FO} is denoted as wff(L_{FO})

```
\varphi \text{ is an } \underline{atom} \quad \Rightarrow \varphi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \quad \Rightarrow (\neg \varphi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \lor \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \rightarrow \psi)
\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \land \psi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg (\varphi \rightarrow (\neg \psi)))
\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \in \mathrm{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))
```

Note that rules are identical to the propositional ones!

Satisfaction (without variables)

• Given a possible world $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

```
If \varphi is an atom (i.e. \varphi has the form P(t_1, ..., t_n)) <\mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v> \models \varphi iff < v(t_1), ..., v(t_n) > \in v(P/n)
```

If φ e ψ are wffs

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	Х			
Spot	Х		Х	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Universe

 $U := \{\underline{tom}, \underline{spot}, \underline{kitty}, \underline{felix}\}$



Could not put real cats in **U**: underlined names represent <u>real objects</u>

Signature

 $\Sigma := \{tom, spot, kitty, felix, Likes/2\}$

i.e. four constants and one predicate symbol

Interpretation

 $v(tom) = \underline{tom}, \quad v(spot) = \underline{spot}, \quad v(kitty) = \underline{kitty}, \quad v(felix) = \underline{felix},$ $v(Likes/2) = \quad a \text{ subset of } U \times U$ $\{<\underline{tom}, \underline{tom}>, <\underline{spot}, \underline{tom}>, <\underline{spot}, \underline{kitty}>, <\underline{kitty}>, <\underline{kitty}>, <\underline{kitty}>, <\underline{felix}, \underline{kitty}>\}$

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Sentences

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models Likes(spot, kitty)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models Likes(tom, tom)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle \models \neg Likes(kitty, felix)$$

$$\langle v(spot), v(kitty) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle v(tom), v(tom) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle v(kitty), v(felix) \rangle \notin v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle \not\models Likes(tom, kitty)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \not\models \neg Likes(felix, kitty)$$

$$\langle v(tom), v(kitty) \rangle \notin v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle v(felix), v(kitty) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$$

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Sentences

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(spot, kitty) \land Likes(felix, kitty))$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(tom, kitty) \lor Likes(tom, tom))$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(spot, tom) \lor \neg Likes(spot, tom))$$

is satisfied in this possible world but also in any possible world

First-order language

Well-formed formulae (wff)

```
All symbols in the signature \Sigma (i.e. constants, function and predicate <u>symbols</u>) A set of variables: x, y, z Two (primary) <i>logical connectives: \neg, \rightarrow Three (derived) logical connectives: \wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow Two quantifiers: \forall, \exists Parentheses: (, ) (there are no precedence rules in this language)
```

An extended definition of terms and atoms

Term

```
A single individual constant or a variable is a term
If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and t_1, ..., t_n are terms, then f(t_1, ..., t_n) is a term
```

Atom

```
If P/n is a predicate symbol (with arity n) and t_1, ..., t_n are terms, then P(t_1, ..., t_n) is an atom (i.e a first-order well-formed formula – wff)
```

First-order language

Well-formed formulae (wff)

```
All symbols in the signature \Sigma (i.e. constants, function and predicate <u>symbols</u>)
```

A set of **variables**: x, y, z

Two (primary) *logical connectives*: \neg , \rightarrow

Three (derived) *logical connectives*: \land , \lor , \leftrightarrow

Two quantifiers: \forall , \exists

Parentheses: (,) (there are no *precedence rules* in this language)

An extended definition of terms and atoms (see before)

A set of syntactic rules

```
\varphi \text{ is an } \underline{atom} \quad \Rightarrow \varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \quad \Rightarrow (\neg \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \to \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \lor \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \to \psi)
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \land \psi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg (\varphi \to (\neg \psi)))
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\varphi \to \psi) \land (\psi \to \varphi))
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\forall x \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \qquad x \text{ can be any variable}
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\exists x \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
```

Satisfaction

• Given a possible world <**U**, Σ , ν > and a valuation s (on that world)

A valuation is a function $s: Variables \to \mathbf{U}$ If φ is an atom (i.e. φ has the form $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$) $<\mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v > [s] \models \varphi$ iff $< v(t_1)[s], ..., v(t_n)[s] > \in v(P)[s]$

If φ e ψ are wffs

Quantified formulae

$$<$$
U, Σ , $v>[s] \models \forall x \varphi$ iff FORALL $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{U}$ we have $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>[s](x:\underline{d}) \models \varphi$ $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>[s] \models \exists x \varphi$ iff it EXISTS $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>[s](x:\underline{d}) \models \varphi$

Where $[s](x:\underline{d})$ is the *variant* of function s that assigns \underline{d} to x and remains unaltered for any other variables.

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	X	
Felix			X	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \mathbf{v} \rangle$

Sentences

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [\mathbf{s}] \models (\forall x (\exists y \ Likes(x, y)))$$
 because FORALL $\underline{\operatorname{cat1}} \in \mathbf{U}, \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [\mathbf{s}](x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}) \models (\exists y \ Likes(x, y))$ because it EXISTS $\underline{\operatorname{cat2}} \in \mathbf{U}, \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle ([\mathbf{s}](x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}))(y:\underline{\operatorname{cat2}}) \models \mathit{Likes}(x, y)$

A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \mathbf{v} \rangle$

Sentences

$$<$$
U, Σ , $v>$ [s] $\not\models$ ($\exists x \ (\forall y \ Likes(x, y)))$ because FORALL $\underline{\operatorname{cat1}} \in \mathbf{U}$, $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>$ [s]($x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$) $\not\models$ ($\forall y \ Likes(x, y)$) because it EXISTS $\underline{\operatorname{cat2}} \in \mathbf{U}$, $<$ **U**, Σ , $v>$ ([s]($x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$))($y:\underline{\operatorname{cat2}}$) $\not\models$ $Likes(x, y)$

Variables and quantifiers: further examples

"Being brothers means being relatives"

```
\forall x \forall y (Brother(x, y) \rightarrow Relative(x, y))
```

"Being relative is a symmetric relation"

```
\forall x \forall y (Relative(x, y) \leftrightarrow Relative(y, x))
```

"By definition, being mother is being parent and female"

```
\forall x \ (Mother(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y \ Parent(x, y) \land Female(x)))
```

"A cousin is a son of either a brother or a sister of either parents"

```
\forall x \forall y (Cousin(x,y))
```

$$\leftrightarrow \exists z \exists w \ (Parent(z, x) \land Parent(w, y) \land (Brother(z, w) \lor Sister(z, w))))$$

"Everyone has a mother"

```
\forall x \exists y Mother(y, x)
```

BE CAREFUL about the order of quantifiers, in fact:

$$\exists y \forall x Mother(y, x)$$

"There is one (common) mother to everyone"

Open formulae, sentences

Bound and free variables

The occurrence of a *variable* in a wff is *bound* if it lies in the scope of a quantifier (for that *variable*)

The occurrence of a variable in a wff is **free** if it is not bound

```
Examples of bound variables: \forall x \ P(x)

\exists x \ (P(x) \rightarrow (A(x) \land B(x))

Examples of free variables: P(x)

\exists y \ (P(y) \rightarrow (A(x,y) \land B(y)))
```

Open and closed formulae: sentences

A wff is **open** if there is at least one free occurrence of a variable

Otherwise, the wff is *closed* (also called *sentence*)

Only *closed* wffs, i.e. *sentences*, have a truth value (see after)

Models

Validity in a possible world, model

```
A wff \varphi such that \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [s] \models \varphi for any valuation s is valid in \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle < \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle is also a model of \varphi and we write \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi (i.e. the reference to s can be omitted) A possible world \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle is a model of a set of wff \Gamma iff it is a model for all the wffs in \Gamma and we write \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \Gamma
```

Truth

A **sentence** ψ such that $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [s] \models \psi$ for one valuation s is **valid** in $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$ *If the sentence is true for one valuation s , then is true for all valuations*

A sentence ψ is true in $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ if it is valid in $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$

Validity in general

Validity and logical truth

```
A wff (either open or closed) is valid (also logically valid) if it is valid in any possible world < U, \Sigma, v> Example: (P(x) \lor \neg P(x))
A sentence \psi is a logical truth if it is true in any possible world < U, \Sigma, v> we write then \models \psi (i.e. no reference to < U, \Sigma, v>) Examples: \forall x \ (P(x) \lor \neg P(x)) \ \forall x \forall y \ (G(x,y) \to (H(x,y) \to G(x,y)))
```

Inconsistence

A wff (either open or closed) is **inconsistent** if its not *satisfiable* Example: $\forall x (P(x) \land \neg P(x))$

Entailment

Definition

Given a set of wffs Γ and one wff φ , we have $\Gamma \models \varphi$

iff all possible worlds <**U**, Σ , v> [s] satisfying Γ also satisfy φ

This definition embraces all possible combinations <**U**, Σ , ν > [s] The only thing that does not vary is the language Σ

Is this problem <u>decidable</u>?

In general, in first-order logic, a direct calculus of entailment is impossible...