# Artificial Intelligence

## First-Order Logic

Marco Piastra

# Propositional possible worlds

```
Each possible world is a structure <\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu>
\{0,1\} are the truth values
\Sigma is the signature of the formal language: a set of propositional symbols \nu is a function : \Sigma \to \{0,1\} assigning truth values to the symbols in \Sigma
```

### **Propositional symbols** (signature)

Each symbol in  $\Sigma$  stands for an actual *proposition* (in natural language) In the simple convention, we use the symbols A, B, C, D, ...

Caution:  $\Sigma$  is not necessarily *finite* 

#### **Possible worlds**

The class of structures contains all possible worlds:

$$<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu>$$
  
 $<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu'>$   
 $<\{0,1\}, \Sigma, \nu''>$ 

Each class of structure shares  $\Sigma$  and  $\{0,1\}$ 

The functions v are different: the assignment of truth values varies, depending on the possible world If P is finite, there are only *finitely* many distinct possible worlds (actually  $2^{|P|}$ )

# Entering extensional semantics: tuples, relations and functions

## Tuple

Consider a generic set of objects U

An example set of objects from U is denoted as  $\{u_1, u_2\}$ , where  $u_1, u_2 \in U$  In a set, the order of elements is not relevant

An example of *tuple* of objects from  $\mathbf{U}$  is denoted as  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ , where  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$  In a <u>tuple</u>, the order is relevant, i.e.  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \neq \langle u_2, u_1 \rangle$ 

## Cartesian product

The cartesian product  $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U} =: \mathbf{U}^2$  is the set of <u>all</u> tuples  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ Analogously,  $\mathbf{U}^3$  is the set of <u>all</u> tuples  $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbf{U}$  $\mathbf{U}^4$  is the set of <u>all</u> tuples  $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \rangle, \ u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in \mathbf{U}$  and so on ...

### Relation

arity is always an integer

A relation of *arity* n is a subset of  $\mathbf{U}^n$ 

### Function

A function of type  $U^n \to U$  is a relation of arity n+1 such that each tuple is constructed by associating each tuple of  $U^n$  with exactly one object from U

## First-order possible worlds

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

**U** is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 $\Sigma$  is a set of symbols, called **signature** 

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $\mathbf{U}$ 

### Signature $\Sigma$

- individual constants: *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, ...
- function symbols (with <u>arity</u>): f/n, g/p, h/q, ...
- predicate symbols (with <u>arity</u>): P/k, Q/l, R/m, ...

<u>Arity</u> is an integer that describes the expected number of arguments

# First-order possible worlds

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

```
Each possible world is a structure \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle
 U is a set of object, called domain (also universe of discourse)
```

 $\Sigma$  is a set of symbols, called **signature** 

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in  $\Sigma$  with respect to  ${f U}$ 

#### **Term**

A single *individual constant* is a **term** If f/n is a *functional symbol* (with arity n) and  $t_1, ..., t_n$  are **terms**, then  $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$  is a **term** 

#### **Atom**

If P/n is a predicate symbol (with arity n) and  $t_1, ..., t_n$  are **terms**, then  $P(t_1, ..., t_n)$  is an **atom** (i.e a first-order well-formed formula – wff)

# <u>First-order</u> possible worlds

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

**U** is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 $\Sigma$  is a set of symbols, called **signature** 

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $\mathbf{U}$ 

### Function v (*interpretation*)

- lacktriangledown v assigns each individual constant to an object in  ${f U}$ 
  - $v(a) \in \mathbf{U}$  (a individual constant)
- lacktriangledown v assigns each functional symbol a function defined on  ${f U}$

$$v(f/n): \mathbf{U}^n \to \mathbf{U}$$
 (f/n functional symbol)

lacktriangledown v assigns each predicate symbol a relation defined on  ${f U}$ 

$$v(P/m) \subseteq \mathbf{U}^m$$
 ( $P/m$  predicate symbol)

# <u>First-order</u> possible worlds

Possible worlds made of objects, functions and relations

Each possible world is a structure  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

**U** is a set of object, called *domain* (also *universe* of *discourse*)

 $\Sigma$  is a set of symbols, called **signature** 

v is a function that gives a meaning to the symbols in  $\Sigma$  with respect to  ${f U}$ 

#### **Term**

A single individual constant is a term

If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and  $t_1, ..., t_n$  are **terms**, then  $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$  is a **term** 

The semantics of a **term**  $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$  is  $v(f/n) (\langle v(t_1), ..., v(t_n) \rangle) \in \mathbf{U}$ 

that is, the result of applying the function that v associates to f/n to the tuple of objects in  $\mathbf{U}$  created from the semantics of  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$  It is yet an object in  $\mathbf{U}$ 

# First-order language (without variables)

Well-formed formulae (wff)

All symbols in the signature  $\Sigma$  (i.e. constants, function and predicate symbols)

Two (primary) *logical connectives*:  $\neg$ ,  $\rightarrow$ 

Three (derived) *logical connectives*:  $\land$ ,  $\lor$ ,  $\leftrightarrow$ 

Parenthesis: (, ) (there are no *precedence rules* in this language)

The definition of *terms* and *atoms* (see before)

### A set of syntactic rules

The set of all the **wff** of  $L_{FO}$  is denoted as wff( $L_{FO}$ )

```
\varphi \text{ is an } \underline{atom} \Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\neg \varphi) \in \text{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \in \text{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi) \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \lor \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \rightarrow \psi)
\varphi, \psi \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \land \psi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg (\varphi \rightarrow (\neg \psi)))
\varphi, \psi \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \in \text{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \land (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))
```

Note that rules are identical to the propositional ones!

## Satisfaction (without variables)

• Given a possible world  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

```
If \varphi is an atom (i.e. \varphi has the form P(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) < U, \Sigma, v > \models \varphi iff < v(t_1), \ldots, v(t_n) > \in v(P/n) If \varphi \in \psi are wffs < U, \Sigma, v > \models (\neg \varphi) iff < U, \Sigma, v > \models \varphi OR < U, \Sigma, v > \models \varphi OR < U, \Sigma, v > \models \psi < U, \Sigma, v > \models (\varphi \land \psi) iff < U, \Sigma, v > \models \varphi AND < U, \Sigma, v > [s] \models \psi < U, \Sigma, v > \models (\varphi \lor \psi) iff < U, \Sigma, v > \models \varphi OR < U, \Sigma, v > [s] <math>\models \psi < U, \Sigma, v > \models \varphi OR < U, \Sigma, v > [s] <math>\models \psi
```

Artificial Intelligence 2021–2022

#### A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

Universe

$$U := \{\underline{tom}, \underline{spot}, \underline{kitty}, \underline{felix}\}$$
 Could not put real cats in  $U :$  underlined names here stand for  $\underline{objects}$ 

Signature

$$\Sigma := \{tom, spot, kitty, felix, Likes/2\}$$
 i.e. four constants and one predicate symbol

Interpretation

$$v(tom) = \underline{tom}, \quad v(spot) = \underline{spot}, \quad v(kitty) = \underline{kitty}, \quad v(felix) = \underline{felix},$$
 $v(Likes/2) = \quad a \text{ subset of } U \times U$ 
 $\{<\underline{tom}, \underline{tom}>, <\underline{spot}, \underline{tom}>, <\underline{spot}, \underline{kitty}>, <\underline{kitty}>, <\underline{kitty}, \underline{spot}>, <\underline{kitty}>, <\underline{felix}, \underline{kitty}>\}$ 

#### A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into  $\langle U, \Sigma, v \rangle$ 

#### Sentences

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models Likes(spot, kitty)$$
 because

because 
$$\langle v(spot), v(kitty) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models Likes(tom, tom)$$

because 
$$\langle v(tom), v(tom) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \neg Likes(kitty, felix)$$

because 
$$\langle v(kitty), v(felix) \rangle \notin v(Likes/2)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \not\models Likes(tom, kitty)$$

because 
$$\langle v(tom), v(kitty) \rangle \notin v(Likes/2)$$

$$<$$
**U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$   $\not\models \neg Likes(felix, kitty)$ 

because 
$$\langle v(felix), v(kitty) \rangle \in v(Likes/2)$$

### A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			Х	

translates into  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

#### Sentences

 $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(spot, kitty) \land Likes(felix, kitty))$ 

 $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models (Likes(tom, kitty) \lor Likes(tom, tom))$ 

 $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle \models (Likes(spot, tom) \lor \neg Likes(spot, tom))$ 

is satisfied in this possible world but also in <u>any</u> possible world

## Variables & Quantifiers

Well-formed formulae (wff)

```
All symbols in the signature \Sigma (i.e. constants, function and predicate symbols) A set of variables: x, y, z Two (primary) logical connectives: \neg, \rightarrow Three (derived) logical connectives: \wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow Two quantifiers: \forall, \exists Parentheses: (, ) (there are no precedence rules in this language)
```

An extended definition of terms and atoms

#### **Term**

```
A single individual constant or a variable is a term
If f/n is a functional symbol (with arity n) and t_1, ..., t_n are terms, then f(t_1, ..., t_n) is a term
```

#### **Atom**

```
If P/n is a predicate symbol (with arity n) and t_1, ..., t_n are terms, then P(t_1, ..., t_n) is an atom (i.e a first-order well-formed formula – wff)
```

## Variables & Quantifiers

Well-formed formulae (wff)

```
All symbols in the signature \Sigma (i.e. constants, function and predicate <u>symbols</u>) A set of variables: x, y, z Two (primary) <i>logical connectives: \neg, \rightarrow Three (derived) logical connectives: \wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow Two quantifiers: \forall, \exists
```

An extended definition of *terms* and *atoms* (see before)

Parentheses: (, ) (there are no *precedence rules* in this language)

A set of syntactic rules

```
\varphi \text{ is an } \underline{atom} \quad \Rightarrow \varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \quad \Rightarrow (\neg \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \to \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \lor \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \lor \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\neg \varphi) \to \psi)
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \land \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \land \psi) \Leftrightarrow (\neg (\varphi \to (\neg \psi)))
\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}), \quad (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \Leftrightarrow ((\varphi \to \psi) \land (\psi \to \varphi))
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\forall x \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \qquad x \text{ can be any variable}
\varphi \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO}) \Rightarrow (\exists x \varphi) \in \operatorname{wff}(L_{FO})
```

Artificial Intelligence 2021-2022

## Satisfaction

• Given a possible world <**U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\nu$ > and a valuation s (on that world)

```
A valuation is a function s: Variables \to \mathbf{U}

If \varphi is an atom (i.e. \varphi has the form P(t_1, ..., t_n))

<\mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v > [s] \models \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad < v(t_1)[s], ..., v(t_n)[s] > \in v(P)[s]
```

If  $\varphi$  e  $\psi$  are wffs

### Quantified formulae

$$<$$
**U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>[s] \models \forall x \varphi$  iff FORALL  $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{U}$  we have  $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>[s](x:\underline{d}) \models \varphi$   $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>[s] \models \exists x \varphi$  iff it EXISTS  $\underline{d} \in \mathbf{U}$  such that  $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>[s](x:\underline{d}) \models \varphi$ 

Where  $[s](x:\underline{d})$  is the *variant* of function s that assigns  $\underline{d}$  to x and remains unaltered for any other variables.

### A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			X	

translates into  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

#### Sentences

$$<$$
**U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$  [s]  $\models$  ( $\forall x$  ( $\exists y \ Likes(x, y)$ )) because FORALL  $\underline{\operatorname{cat1}} \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$  [s]( $x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$ )  $\models$  ( $\exists y \ Likes(x, y)$ ) because it EXISTS  $\underline{\operatorname{cat2}} \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$  ([s]( $x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$ ))( $y:\underline{\operatorname{cat2}}$ )  $\models$   $Likes(x, y)$ 

### A world of cats

Likes	Tom	Spot	Kitty	Felix
Tom	X			
Spot	X		X	
Kitty		Х	Х	
Felix			X	

translates into  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, \nu \rangle$ 

#### Sentences

$$<$$
**U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$  [s]  $\not\models$  ( $\exists x \ (\forall y \ Likes(x, y))$ ) because FORALL  $\underline{\operatorname{cat1}} \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$  [s]( $x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$ )  $\not\models$  ( $\forall y \ Likes(x, y)$ ) because it EXISTS  $\underline{\operatorname{cat2}} \in \mathbf{U}$ ,  $<$ **U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $v>$  ([s]( $x:\underline{\operatorname{cat1}}$ ))( $y:\underline{\operatorname{cat2}}$ )  $\not\models$   $Likes(x, y)$ 

# Variables & Quantifiers: further examples

"Being brothers means being relatives"

```
\forall x \forall y (Brother(x, y) \rightarrow Relative(x, y))
```

"Being relative is a symmetric relation"

```
\forall x \forall y \ (Relative(x,y) \leftrightarrow Relative(y,x))
```

"By definition, being mother is being parent and female"

```
\forall x (Mother(x) \leftrightarrow (\exists y \ Parent(x, y) \land Female(x)))
```

"A cousin is a son of either a brother or a sister of either parents"

```
\forall x \forall y (Cousin(x,y))
```

$$\leftrightarrow \exists z \exists w \ (Parent(z, x) \land Parent(w, y) \land (Brother(z, w) \lor Sister(z, w))))$$

"Everyone has a mother"

```
\forall x \exists y Mother(y, x)
```

BE CAREFUL about the order of quantifiers, in fact:

$$\exists y \forall x Mother(y, x)$$

"There is one (common) mother to everyone"

# Open formulae, Sentences

### Bound and free variables

The occurrence of a *variable* in a wff is *bound* if it lies in the scope of a quantifier (for that *variable*)

The occurrence of a *variable* in a wff is *free* if it is not *bound* 

```
Examples of bound variables: \forall x \ P(x)

\exists x \ (P(x) \to (A(x) \land B(x))

Examples of free variables: P(x)

\exists y \ (P(y) \to (A(x,y) \land B(y)))
```

## Open and closed formulae: sentences

A wff is **open** if there is at least one free occurrence of a variable

Otherwise, the wff is *closed* (also called *sentence*)

Only *closed* wffs, i.e. *sentences*, have a truth value (see after)

## Models

## Validity in a possible world, model

```
A wff \varphi such that \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle [s] \models \varphi for any valuation s is valid in \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle is also a model of \varphi and we write \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi (i.e. the reference to s can be omitted) A possible world \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \varphi is a model of a set of wff \Gamma iff it is a model for all the wffs in \Gamma and we write \langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle \models \Gamma
```

### Truth

A **sentence**  $\psi$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$  [s]  $\models \psi$  for one valuation s is **valid** in  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$  *If the sentence is true for one valuation s , then is true for all valuations* A **sentence**  $\psi$  is **true** in  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$  if it is **valid** in  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$ 

Artificial Intelligence 2021-2022

# Validity in general

Validity and logical truth

```
A wff (either open or closed) is valid (also logically valid) if it is valid in any possible world < U, \Sigma, v> Example: (P(x) \lor \neg P(x))

A sentence \psi is a logical truth if it is true in any possible world < U, \Sigma, v> we write then \models \psi (i.e. no reference to < U, \Sigma, v>) Examples: \forall x (P(x) \lor \neg P(x)) \\ \forall x \forall y (G(x,y) \to (H(x,y) \to G(x,y)))
```

#### Inconsistence

A wff (either open or closed) is **inconsistent** if its not *satisfiable* Example:  $\forall x (P(x) \land \neg P(x))$ 

## Entailment

### Definition

Given a set of wffs  $\Gamma$  and one wff  $\varphi$  , we have  $\Gamma \models \varphi$ 

iff all possible worlds  $\langle \mathbf{U}, \Sigma, v \rangle$  [s] satisfying  $\Gamma$  also satisfy  $\varphi$ 

This definition embraces all possible combinations <**U**,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\nu>$  [s] The only thing that does not vary is the language  $\Sigma$ 

Is this problem <u>decidable</u>?

*In general, in first-order logic, a direct calculus of entailment is impossible...* 

Artificial Intelligence 2021-2022